UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,669	06/02/2005	Dieter Kassel	KW-2030 (23091)	1888
27877 KENNAMETA	7590 07/07/200 L INC.	EXAMINER		
	perty Department	ZHU, WEIPING		
P.O. BOX 231 1600 TECHNOLOGY WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATROBE, PA	. 15650	1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,669	KASSEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WEIPING ZHU	1793	

	WEIPING ZHU	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original for replacements or repla	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	 owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6-12.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			*
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	∍d.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reasons as stated in the final rejection.</li> </ol>		condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793			

## Continuation of 13. Other:

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. ('603) as stated in the Office action dated April 9, 2008. The amended features on the instant claims 1-5 have not changed the scopes of the claims. Therefore the reasons as stated in the Office action dated April 9, 2008 are applied properly herein.

The applicant's arguments filed on June 24, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the contents of the dopants of Chen et al. (603) are much higher than the claimed dopant contents and the hard metal body of Chen et al. (603) comprises multiple layers, which are not present in the claimed hard metal substrate. In response, the examiner notes the hard metal body of Chen et al. (603) actually can be viewed as comprising a top layer of carbonitride phase as claimed in the instant claim 4 on a hard metal substrate consisting of tungsten carbide phase, a lesser amount of a binder mertal phase and a still lesser amount of a metal dopant as claimed. The metal dopant concentration of Chen et al. (603) is only high in the top layer, but much lower in the substrate (Fig. 1), which would be substantially identical to the metal dopant distribution in the claimed hard metal substrate after the application of the top layer of carbonitride. Therefore, the hard metal body of Chen et al. (603) renders the claimed hard metal substrate obvious to one of ordianry skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.